80359-86-0; threo-7d, 80359-87-1; erythro-7f, 80359-88-2; 7g, 80359-89-3; erythro-7h, 80359-90-6; threo-7h, 80359-91-7; 8a, 80359-92-8; 8b, 80359-93-9; 8d, 70245-09-9; 8e, 80359-94-0; 8f, 80359-95-1; 8g, 80359-96-2; 8h, 80359-97-3.

G. William Daub,* Michael G. Sanchez Robbin A. Cromer, Lester L. Gibson

> Department of Chemistry Harvey Mudd College Claremont, California 91711 Received October 27, 1981

Sequential Ene Reactions. A New Annelation Procedure

Summary: Alkylidenecycloalkanes react with α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds in the presence of Me₂AlCl to give bicyclic alcohols resulting from two sequential ene reactions.

Sir: The use of carbon-carbon double bonds as activating groups for the formation of new carbon-carbon bonds under mild conditions is a challenge to synthetic chemists. The ene reaction provides a potential solution to this problem.¹ We have found that Lewis acid catalyzed ene reactions with acrylate esters as the enophile occur at 25 °C and that the ene reactions of α -substituted acrylate esters are regioselective and stereoselective, with the carboalkoxy group adding endo.^{1b} Lewis acid catalysis offers significant advantages over the corresponding thermal ene reactions which occur at 200-300 °C. We have also shown that alkylaluminum halides are preferred catalysts for these reactions since the alkyl group functions as a proton scavenger.²

 α,β -Unsaturated ketones and aldehydes have seen very little use as enophiles.³ Acrolein reacts with β -pinene at 140 °C^{3b} or with ZnBr₂ catalysis at 25 °C.⁴ Methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) reacts with β -pinene at 25 °C with ZnBr₂ catalysis⁴ and has been reported to react with limonene with AlCl₃ catalysis.⁵

We report here that alkylidenecycloalkanes react with β -unsubstituted α , β -unsaturated ketones or aldehydes in the presence of Me₂AlCl to give a bicyclic alcohol resulting from two sequential ene reactions. For instance, methylenecyclohexane (1), acrolein, and Me₂AlCl in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C for 20 min react to give a 63% yield of **3a**.^{6,9} The

initially formed ene adduct 2a undergoes a second, intramolecular ene reaction with the complexed aldehyde functioning as the enophile. Loss of methane from the resulting alcohol-Lewis acid complex to give the aluminum alkoxide prevents proton-catalyzed side reactions or solvolysis of the alcohol. Cyclization of 2a to 3a is much faster than formation of 2a since no 2a could be detected, even when the reaction is run to low conversion at -78 °C.



Reaction of 1, MVK, and Me₂AlCl at -20 °C for 2 h gives a 39% yield of **2b** and a 4% yield of **3b**. The same reaction at 25 °C for 1 h gives a 9% yield of **2b** and a 49% yield of **3b**. Thus, at different reaction times, either **2b** or **3b** can be isolated as the major product. The successful isolation of **2b**, as opposed to **2a**, results from the dimished reactivity of the ketone carbonyl as an enophile.¹⁰ The isolation of a teritary alcohol, **3b**, from a Lewis acid catalyzed reaction is due to its protection as an aluminum alkoxide.

Reaction of 1, methacrolein, and Me_2AlCl (0 °C, 1 h) gives a 66% yield of a 3:1 mixture of 3d (mp 69–71 °C) and 3c (mp 51.5–53.5 °C). The methyl group thus prefers to be equatorial, suggesting that ring formation is well ad-

(10) Karras, M.; Snider, B. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7951.

 ^{(1) (}a) Hoffmann, H. M. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 556.
 (b) Snider, B. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 426.

⁽²⁾ Snider, B. B.; Rodini, D. J.; Karras, M.; Kirk, T. C.; Deutsch, E. A.; Cordova, R.; Price, R. T. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3927.

^{(3) (}a) Albisetti, C. J.; Fisher, N. G.; Hogsed, M. J.; Joyce, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 2637. (b) Kruk, C.; Velzen, J. C. v.; de Boer, T. J. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1969, 88, 139.

⁽⁴⁾ Snider, B. B. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 255.

⁽⁵⁾ Mehta, G.; Reddy, A. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 2625. We have been unable to repeat this reaction. Professor Mehta has indicated that "the yields are somewhat erratic and decrease enormously on scale up above 10 mmole." In addition the reported yield of 75% is based on recovery of 80% of the limonene. From the spectral data reported, there is no doubt that the ene adduct was obtained. However, our results make it clear that the ene adduct will not be stable to AlCl₃ for 12 h in benzene. The most likely explanation is that a partially hydrated, and therefore much less active, sample of AlCl₃ was used.

⁽⁶⁾ All new compounds were characterized by IR, ¹H, and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and gave satisfactory elemental analyses. The stereochemistry of hydroxyl and bromine substituents was established by the chemical shift and splitting pattern of the α -protons. The stereochemistry of these substituents and methyl groups could be established by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. The reported ¹³C spectra of 1,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7-octa-hydronaphthalene and 1H-2,3,3a,4,5,6-hexahydroindene⁷ could be assigned using the spectra of 1-methylcyclohexene and 2-(methyl-methylene)cyclohexane⁸ as models. With shift values for equatorial and axial methyl substituents on methylenecyclohexane,⁸ the expected ¹³C spectra could be calculated for each possible isomer. In all cases agreement between the calculated and observed spectra was very good for all carbons.

⁽⁷⁾ Becker, K. B. Helv. Chim. Acta 1977, 60, 68.

⁽⁸⁾ Grover, S. H.; Stothers, J. B. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 589.

⁽⁹⁾ The detailed procedure given below is typical. Methylenecyclohexane (0.53 g, 5.5 mmol) was added to a solution of acrolein (distilled from CuSO₄; 0.28 g, 5.0 mmol) and Me₂AlCl (4.16 mL of 1.14 M in heptane, 4.75 mmol) in 15 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 20 min at 0 °C and quenched by cautious addition of water and ether. The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer was washed 3 times with ether. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated to give 0.808 g of crude product. Evaporative distillation of 0.696 g (100 °C, 0.25 torr) gave 0.411 g (63%) of pure 3a: mp 54.4-55.5 °C; NMR (CCl₄) δ 5.6 (br s, 1), 38 (br s, 1); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₄) δ 136.0, 124.3, 71.3, 42.1, 34.8, 33.5, 26.4, 25.2, 21.9, 20.9; IR (KBr) 3370, 3050, 1670 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O: C, 78.90; H, 10.59. Found: C, 78.79; H, 10.36.

vanced in the transition state. On the other hand, 1, α bromoacrolein, and Me₂AlCl (0 °C, 1 h) give a 59% yield of a 14:1 mixture of 3e (mp 85.5-86.5 °C) and 3f.¹¹ The large preference for axial bromine may result from increased reactivity of the conformer of 2 with the antiperiplanar orientation of the bromine and carbonyl group. This has been previously proposed to rationalize the stereoselectivity of the Cornforth olefin synthesis.¹²

Reaction of ethylidenecyclohexane (4) with acrolein gives a 40% yield of 6a (mp 61.5-62.5 °C) with an equatorial methyl group. Reaction of 4 with methacrolein can give two initial ene adducts 5c or 5d. If the carbonyl group adds endo as with methyl methacrylate,¹³ 5d will be the major or exclusive product. In fact, the only bicyclic alcohol which could be isolated was 6d (38% vield), although minor unidentifiable products were formed. Similarly, α -bromoacrolein and 4 give a 42% yield of 6f and a 5% vield of 6e. Aldehydes 5d and 5f cyclize to give the adduct in which both substituents are equatorial since the transition state leading to the adduct in which both substituents are axial is very hindered.



MVK and 4 (25 °C, 1 h) give 61% of 6b, 4% of 6g, 6% of a cyclobutane resulting from formal 2 + 2 cycloaddition and 9% of 5-cyclohexyl-3-hexen-2-one. The latter two products are probably formed from a zwitterionic intermediate via collapse to the cyclobutane and two 1,2hydride shifts to give the α,β -unsaturated ketone.^{10,14,15}

Methylenecyclopentane (7a), acrolein, and Me₂AlCl (0 °C, 20 min) give a 38% yield of 9a (mp 41.5-42.5 °C) while ethylidenecyclopentane (7b), acrolein, and Me₂AlCl (0 °C, 2 h) give a 72% yield of 9b (mp 47-48 °C). Reaction of 7b, MVK, and Me₂AlCl at -78 °C (30 min) gives a 65% yield of 8c. At 25 °C (30 min) 51% of 9c is formed along with 2% of 5-cyclopentyl-3-hexene-2-one.



24-Oxocholesteryl acetate (11) was synthesized from $\Delta^{5,17(20)}$ -(Z)-pregnadien-3 β -vl acetate (10) by reaction with isopropyl vinyl ketone¹⁶ and Me₂AlCl (25 °C, 2 h) to give 46% of the ene adduct with 20-S stereochemistry, followed by hydrogenation of the Δ^{16} double bond of the ene adduct over Pt/C(80%).¹⁷



This procedure offers an attractive alternative to present annelation procedures since it allows the introduction of a variety of substituents on the newly formed cyclohexanol with control of stereochemistry.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health and Mobil Foundation. We thank Michael Karras for carrying out preliminary experiments.

Registry No. 1, 1192-37-6; 2b, 80376-43-8; 3a, 80376-44-9; 3b, 80376-45-0; 3c, 80376-46-1; 3d, 80376-47-2; 3e, 80376-48-3; 3f, 80387-11-7; 4, 1003-64-1; 5c, 80376-49-4; 5d, 80376-50-7; 5f, 80376-51-8; 6a, 80376-52-9; 6b, 80376-53-0; 6d, 80376-54-1; 6e, 80376-55-2; 6f, 80376-56-3; 6g, 80376-57-4; 7a, 1528-30-9; 7b, 2146-37-4; 8c, 80376-58-5; 9a, 80376-59-6; 9b, 80376-60-9; 9c, 80376-61-0; 10, 1167-33-5; 11, 20981-59-3; acrolein, 107-02-8; methyl vinyl ketone, 78-94-4; methacrolein, 78-85-3; α -bromoacrolein, 14925-39-4; cyclobutane, 287-23-0; 5-cyclohexyl-3-hexen-2-one, 80376-62-1; isopropyl vinyl ketone, 1606-47-9; 3β-acetoxy-5,16-cholestadien-24-one, 80376-63-2;

⁽¹¹⁾ In addition, 2% of a cyclobutane and 21% of 1-(α -bromovinyl)-2-(1-cyclohexen-1-yl)ethanol, resulting from the carbonyl group

⁽¹²⁾ Morrison, J. D.; Mosher, H. S. "Asymmetric Organic Reactions";
American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1976; pp 98-100.
(13) Duncia, J. V.; Lansbury, P. T., Jr.; Miller, T.; Snider, B. B. J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 1981, manuscript submitted.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Snider, B. B.; Rodini, D. J.; van Straten, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5872.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The formation of these side products suggests that the first ene reaction is stepwise and not concerted. The second ene reaction is either concerted or stepwise with either intermolecular proton transfer or transfer of the proton directly to the methyl group of Me₂AlCl through an eight-membered-ring transition state since the proton is too far from the oxygen to be transferred directly.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Seguchi, K.; Sera, A.; Otsuki, Y.; Maruyama, K. Bull Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1975, 48, 3641.

⁽¹⁷⁾ For the preparation of 10, a discussion of the stereochemistry at C-20 introduced in the ene reaction and the hydrogenation of the Δ^{16} double bond, see: Batcho, A. D.; Berger, D. E.; Uskoković, M. R.; Snider, B. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 102, 1293. Dauben, W. G.; Brookhart, T. Ibid. 1981, 103, 237.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 1979-1983. Address correspondence to Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254.

1-(α-bromovinyl)-2-(1-cyclohexen-1-yl)ethanol, 80376-64-3.

Barry B. Snider,*¹⁸ Ethan A. Deutsch

Department of Chemistry Brandeis University Waltham, Massachusetts 02254 Department of Chemistry Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08544 Received November 17, 1981

Acyl Silanes as Sterically Hindered Aldehydes: Additions, Oxidations, and Desilylations

Summary: Acyl trimethylsilanes can be used as sterically hindered synthons for aldehydes, whereby the trimethylsilyl group is removed via a Brook rearrangement.

Sir: In connection with our studies of the pentadienyl anion pathway¹ to intramolecular Diels-Alder reactions we have examined several factors which control the regioisomer distributions of 3 and 4 (eq 1): (1) kinetic vs.



thermodynamic control,² (2) solvent and cation effects,³ and (3) steric effects. The amount of desired 1,3-diene (3) was usually greatest when the substrate was hindered (i.e., 2, R_1 and R_2 large). We required a method, however, to cause an aldehyde to temporarily appear more hindered to the approach of an organometallic reagent (1). After the desired 1,3-diene was formed, the steric "blocking group" must be removed. Thus we used for this purpose a dithiane carboxaldehyde 5 in a synthesis of epizonarene (eq 2). The bulky 1,3-dithiane group could subsequently be removed with Raney nickel.



In this paper we discuss application of acyl silanes⁴ such as 6a or 6b as sterically hindered aldehyde equivalents.



Acyl silanes 6a or 6b were prepared by hydrolysis⁵ of the corresponding thicketals 7a and 7b. Acyl silanes 6a and 6b are stable to distillation and can be chromatographed. Compound **6b** was reduced with $LiAlH_4$ in ether to give the silvl carbinol 8. Although we were able to oxidize 8 back to the ketone using DCC/Me_2SO methods,⁶ we were

durinons of (0 meeny pendudicity) infinant in fifth (eq 1)		
entry	compd 2	ratio 3/4, %
	۹	
1		21/73
2	СН	25/73
3	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	88/12
	l	
4	t-Bur H	85/15
5	CH ₃ t-Bu	100/0
6	CH3 SI(CH3)3	100/0
	f	
7	Si(CH ₃) ₃	100/0

not able to prepare 8 via addition of Me₃SiLi⁷ to aldehyde 9.



When 3-MPL (1, (3-methylpentadienyl)lithium) is allowed to react with 6a or 6b, only the conjugated isomers 10a or 10b are formed. The relative steric bulk of the Me₃Si group with respect to carbonyl additions can be seen from the regioisomer ratios in Table I. Analogy to a *tert*-butyl group seems reasonable.

The Me₃Si group, having served its purpose, could be removed by treatment of the alcohol with KH in HMPA.8



⁽⁶⁾ Brook, A. G. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1968, 7, 96-155. (7) Still, W. C. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3063-3064.

(8) The Brook arrangement has been observed for aryl-substituted α -hydroxy silanes as well as those where R = alkyl,⁹ vinyl,¹⁰ or acetylene:¹¹ Brook, A. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1974, 7, 77-84. In general, anion stabilizing



R groups enhance the rearrangement rate. In this case the lithium salt of 10a is stable, whereas the potassium salt in HMPA or in the presence of 18-crown-6 rearranges.

^{(1) (}a) Wilson, S. R.; Mao, D. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6289-6291. (b) Wilson, S. R.; Mao, D. T. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3093-3094.

⁽²⁾ Wilson, S. R.; Mao, D. T.; Jernberg, K. M.; Ezmirly, S. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 2559-2562.

Wilson, S. R.; Misra, R. N. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 5079–5081.
 Reich, H. J.; Rusek, J. J.; Olson, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2225-2227

^{(5) (}a) Brook, A. G.; Duff, J. M.; Jones, P. F.; Davis, N. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 431–434. (b) Corey, E. J.; Seebach, D.; Freedman, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 431-436.